

# Brookton CRC Relocation

## Consultation Report April 2026

### Background

The Shire of Brookton undertook community consultation to gather feedback on the Schematic Design for the relocation of the Brookton Community resource Centre. This relocation will support the activation of the Agricultural, Lesser and Memorial halls, enhancing their use and value to the community.

The Schematic Design was prepared in response to a project brief informed by priorities identified during the 2024 and 2025 community consultation. It provides the overall layout, flow, and character of the halls - before diving into technical details.

### Project

The Relocation of the Brookton Community Resource Centre and Establishment of a New Community Hub is a key project aimed at strengthening the accessibility, functionality, and activation of community spaces within Brookton. By relocating the CRC to the Agricultural/Lesser/Memorial Hall, the project seeks to create a central, well-connected hub that supports a broader range of programs, services, and community activities. This approach maximises the use of an important existing asset while enhancing its value for both residents and visitors.

The objective of the project is to deliver a more cohesive, flexible, and welcoming environment that better meets current and future community needs. Establishing a new community hub within the Halls will help revitalise the building, increase its utilisation, and reinforce its role as a central gathering place. Through improved accessibility and more efficient use of space, the project aims to provide long-term benefits to the community and support a vibrant community space.

### Consultation

Community consultation commenced in December 2024 with the release of a public survey, which remained open until 10 January 2025. This was followed by a community information session on 22 January 2025 to present the survey outcomes and discuss both concerns and positive feedback raised by participants.

Using the priorities identified through the 2024–2025 consultation process, the architect prepared the Schematic Design for the proposed relocation of the Brookton CRC. A further community session was held on 22 October 2025 to present the draft plans. The Schematic Design consultation period closed on 12 November 2025, with a total of 9 individual submissions received. These submissions are now provided for your information.

This staged process ensures that the project is well-defined, community-informed, and supported by clear design direction. Completing this work now also positions the Shire to be grant ready when funding opportunities become available. By having a developed concept, documented consultation outcomes, and preliminary cost estimates in place, the Shire can respond quickly and competitively to future funding rounds, increasing the likelihood of securing external funding for the project.

## BCRC Relocation Feedback and Response Consultation

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
<b>Submission 1</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Connection to Madison Square traffic calming Stop sign opposite side on White St &amp; Whittington St intersection.</li> <li>2. Disabled parking</li> <li>3. CCTV</li> <li>4. Blue doors (colour remaining?)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The surrounding civil redevelopment was not in scope however we would recommend consideration of a raised road section to building surrounds to make a stronger connection between Maddison Square and the Hall.</li> <li>2. Designated Parking bays to be considered in detailed design stage.</li> <li>3. The design scope for CCTV and associated IT documentation will be considered at a later stage.</li> <li>4. Blue glazed Doors to entry are to be retained. Colour selection is yet to be recommended as no research has been undertaken at this stage.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Traffic calming is outside the scope of this project.</li> <li>2- 3. Noted - Review at design stage.</li> <li>4. The BCRC entry door will be upgraded to an electronic glass door for improved mobility access.</li> </ol>
<b>Submission 2</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. My thoughts are to find a way to connect the front of the design to somehow open visually and structurally towards the street and park more. Possibly flipping the glass wall and outdoor seating to the front entry/street side and making the area more welcoming and inviting for those walking past and for future development across the road, kids in park or playground, skate park? etc.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. From a design perspective we do not disagree with street activation. However, the current proposal aims to respect the identity of all three halls (agricultural hall, lesser hall and main hall).</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. Connection between the front of the building and Madison Square is not feasible at this location, as Whittington Street carries a high volume of traffic. It serves as the primary access route to Baptistcare and is regularly used by heavy vehicles, including delivery trucks, ambulances, and fire trucks. This makes creating a safe and functional pedestrian link challenging.</li> </ol>
<b>Submission 3</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. Great opportunity to see first-hand the plans and to have an open discussion</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. N/A</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. N/A</li> </ol>
<b>Submission 4</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7. The table and chair storage in the lesser hall I feel could be moved to corner of the main hall. Please don't put a structure in the current planned space? Don't build a solid structure (Cheaper) (as you walk in the main entrance inside the main hall on the left corner) Not a solid structure needed possibly a concertina type structure (or no structure at all) that can be opened and that space still be used when the hall is full. (as that is where these will mainly get used).</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7. We believe the contained storage of tables and chairs enables more use of the main hall and lesser hall and consolidates it to one space.</li> <li>8. The broader connection and closer of streets for events is a matter to be addressed by council and is not in our current design scope.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7. The Lesser Hall is not heavily used, which makes it the better option for storage. Moving storage into the main hall would reduce available floor space and limit the number of people the hall can accommodate. Using the Lesser Hall for storage minimises impact on events and ensures the main hall remains flexible for larger gatherings. This approach also avoids the need to build a permanent or</li> </ol>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
	<p>8. I am invested in council activating Whittington st and the park opposite the hall in the future, whilst connecting it with our main street and businesses and gardens. Particularly if we want this relocation to work. Hopefully enhanced features for all ages, love to see this for families because without families we will run out of the older population. A BBQ and table and chairs and shade for a start, drop in a scooter/skate/roller skate park, all purpose playground with water? Events could be held in this area, Whittington Street could be closed for events similar to what we do in Robinson Road at times. The pathways across the railway line if upgraded have an amazing opportunity to connect this whole area. From Kalkarni Residency to the main street. I have walked across here at night and pictured the pathway out of the cupboard into Narnia from the"" Lion, The witch and the Wardrobe. With enhanced lighting and a bit of a tidy up. It could be a magical space.</p>		<p>solid structure in the main hall, keeping the space open and welcoming.</p> <p>8. Considered as part of a separate project focused on improving connections between the Madison Square and the CRC, and linking the caravan park to the main street.</p>
<p><b>Submission 4</b></p>	<p>9. Utilize the area on the southern side(Don't use as car parking) as an Alfresco area with platform for a musician or poetry readings, " Sprukers "corner! EEK!! People can sit here at an event in the summer afternoons /evenings, allow more visual and physical access along here from the building le: the glass wall you have on the other side put it out here or have one here too.</p>	<p>9. The comment about activation to the Whittington and White Street frontages has merit. If the Shire would like this to be considered it can be more formally considered in the Detail Design Stage.</p>	<p>9. The southern side of the building will be allocated for accessible and mobility parking to ensure safe and convenient access for visitors to the centre. This location provides the most direct and level entry point into the facility, which is essential for people with limited mobility, parents with prams, and those requiring additional support. Positioning the parking in this area also allows for compliant bay sizes, safe vehicle movements, and clear pedestrian pathways, helping us meet accessibility standards and providing an inclusive experience for all visitors.</p>
<p><b>Submission 4</b></p>	<p>10. The sun on the glass wall where it is now positioned, I feel will be intense in summer but whilst also letting in precious light. The back of the hall can be utilized for necessary parking for the CRC patrons, workers and workers at hall</p>	<p>10. No comment.</p>	<p>10. The glass wall has been designed to maximise natural light, consistent with the findings of the original survey conducted in January 2025. Concerns about summer heat have already been raised at the</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
	<p>functions. The heat out here can be very intense to have an alfresco area for summer evening events the other side of the building would be perfect. Have a sitting area for the library maybe and CRC and hall workers convenience. There have been historical plans done while I was on council called the White Street plan this envisaged activating (amongst other things) the connection of Whittington Street all the way from Kalkarni across to the main street.</p>		<p>working group, and the glass will be tinted to reduce glare and heat while still allowing light through. Glass also provides a sense of open space and connection to the outdoors, which was a key design consideration. There is not enough space on the side suggested for relocating functions, as the library already looks out onto the outdoor patio. The back of the hall will continue to be utilised for necessary parking for patrons and workers during hall functions. The reference to the White Street Plan is the Brookton Reserve 43158 Management Plan -the plan does not address the activating of connections between the Caravan Park and Robinson Road, just Reserve 43158.</p>
<p><b>Submission 4</b></p>	<p>11. I like the incorporation of the fridges in the kitchen to be utilized as bar fridges on demand. That is a nice big kitchen that should absorb this generally for the sized functions the hall may hold. If/when a big function is held they could have a Pop up bar. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the plan and all the work in building this plan! Well done all. It was refreshing to see the councilors and staff in attendance. Your patience is appreciated in answering all the questions asked. This is an amazing opportunity for a community that has a big future. So worth getting it done well.</p>	<p>11. No comment.</p>	<p>11. A temporary pop-up bar structure would need to be delivered as a separate project, as there is insufficient space within the current building footprint and roof structure to accommodate this function. An option worth considering is a sea-container-style pop-up bar, which could house a servery, refrigeration, and other essential equipment.</p> <p>This type of structure offers flexibility, as it can be easily relocated to different positions around the building or even to other locations within the town, depending on the needs of events or community activities. Its mobility also allows the Shire to trial different placements and uses without committing to permanent infrastructure.</p> <p>A pop-up bar of this nature could be an excellent initiative for a future Community Budget Submission, as it would support</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
			local events, activate public spaces, and provide a versatile asset for a range of community functions. The fridges and equipment could also be shared with or utilised by the existing servery, ensuring efficient use of resources.
<b>Submission 5</b>	<p>12. COMMUNITY RESOURCE CENTRE - Removal of timber steps between Agricultural Hall and Lesser Hall. If the steps are removed is the proposed lift the only access to the CRC offices in the Lesser Hall. If so, would this be compliant with Health and Safety and Evacuation regulations? If the lift fails or there is a power failure how do people access the relevant areas? The steps should be retained, this also encourages the staff to be active.</p> <p>13. Where will the staff who are welcoming visitors/clients to the CRC be situated?</p> <p>14. Is there an area/room where exam candidates may sit exams?</p>	<p>12. The design proposes the construction of a new compliant staircase adjacent to the lift. This addresses circulation and access requirements. In emergencies if the power is cut to the lift, there is a ramp to the rear courtyard to provide safe access out of the Lesser Hall.</p> <p>13. The main staff area is located in the Lesser Hall at a higher level. One of our options situated the staff area to the agricultural hall more closely related to the White Street entry and with direct access to the visitors. This can be reconsidered if the Shire should wish.</p> <p>14. We have proposed meeting rooms where exam candidates can sit exams.</p>	<p>12. The current timber stairs will be removed and replaced with both steps and an electronic lift to ensure mobility access. In the event of a power failure or lift outage, there is rear ramp access to the BCRC, providing safe entry and exit for visitors and staff. This design is intended to meet Health and Safety and Evacuation requirements, with multiple access points available.</p> <p>13. Staff welcoming visitors/clients will be located in the Lesser Hall on the upper level, as outlined in Design A.701 Staff Perspective.</p> <p>14. All areas of the CRC will have Wi-Fi access, supporting exam candidates and staff needs.</p>
<b>Submission 5</b>	<p>15. KITCHEN - Installation of Deep Fryer. Taking into consideration the cleaning of such an appliance and the correct disposal of used oils is this a suitable addition to the kitchen. Perhaps the installation of a suitable Air Fryer could be considered.</p> <p>16. Kitchen storage - crockery etc. These areas are not marked on plans.</p>	<p>15. The Kitchen design has been adapted from the first iteration in c.2022. This can be re-examined at the detail design stage with a specialized Kitchen consultant.</p> <p>16. The kitchen design will be explored further at the detailed design stage.</p>	<p>15. The deep fryer is likely be removed from the design. The current kitchen layout was developed during previous consultation and is intended only as a commercial kitchen concept to progress costings for the funding. Final inclusions will be refined, and unnecessary appliances (such as the deep fryer) may not be carried forward.</p> <p>16. Kitchen storage for crockery and other items will be addressed in the detailed design stage to ensure adequate provision.</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
<p><b>Submission 5</b></p>	<p>17. BAR - Though the provision of a bar area has been questioned there is still no inclusion of this amenity.</p>	<p>17. It is proposed that the kitchen servery will act as a bar for functions.</p>	<p>17. A temporary pop-up bar structure would need to be delivered as a separate project, as there is insufficient space within the current building footprint and roof structure to accommodate this function. An option worth considering is a sea-container-style pop-up bar, which could house a servery, refrigeration, and other essential equipment.</p> <p>This type of structure offers flexibility, as it can be easily relocated to different positions around the building or even to other locations within the town, depending on the needs of events or community activities. Its mobility also allows the Shire to trial different placements and uses without committing to permanent infrastructure.</p> <p>A pop-up bar of this nature could be an excellent initiative for a future Community Budget Submission, as it would support local events, activate public spaces, and provide a versatile asset for a range of community functions. The fridges and equipment could also be shared with or utilised by the existing servery, ensuring efficient use of resources.</p>
<p><b>Submission 5</b></p>	<p>18. STORAGE - tables and chairs. Will the storage areas planned for tables and chairs considerably reduce the usable area of the Lesser Hall?</p>	<p>18. The installation will reduce the use of the lesser hall. However, this is deemed as necessary to ensure the required facilities and proposed uses are best catered for in the overall CRC design.</p>	<p>18. Originally, the project design considered including the entire Lesser Hall, which would have reduced the overall size of usable space. During community consultation in January 2025, it was suggested that part of this area be used for serving meals when the main hall is in use. We believe the current allocation provides sufficient space for both serving functions and storage needs. A designated storage area for tables and chairs is important to</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
			keep the main hall clear and maximise usable floor space during large events. Proper storage ensures the efficient setup and pack-down for functions.
<b>Submission 5</b>	19. Sustainability: Re-use of materials. For a number of reasons, I don't think the Metters Stove(s) and Hot Water Copper in the Agricultural Hall can be re-used. I agree that they are considered of historical importance.	19. No comment.	19. When we refer to "re-use" of the Metters Stove(s) and Hot Water Copper, this means they will be restored to their former condition but not used operationally. This approach ensures we are respectful of their historical significance to the community. The restored items will remain visible within the Agricultural Hall, preserving their heritage value while maintaining safety and practicality. In this way, the project balances sustainability goals with heritage preservation.
<b>Submission 6</b>	<p>20. Part 1 – Main Hall. 1. Lighting – Consider adding lighting between the lesser hall / kitchen / stage entry.</p> <p>21. Airconditioning – would it be possible to put air-conditioning in the Main Hall?</p> <p>22. Doors – would it be possible to replace the existing external Main Hall doors with modern equivalents that replicate the current aesthetic but offer improved sealing. This would significantly reduce the ingress of dust and air, enhancing thermal efficiency and reducing heating and cooling demands within the space.</p>	<p>20. The addition of lighting to the main foyer will be considered in association with the proposed alteration and upgrade works.</p> <p>21. Yes, it is possible but it has not been allowed for in the current scope.</p> <p>22. It is not proposed to replace the existing external main hall doors but to refurbish them to achieve a reduction in ingress of dust and where necessary improve compliant access.</p>	<p>20. These lighting enhancements fall outside the scope of the relocation brief and would need to be addressed through a separate project.</p> <p>21. Air-conditioning will be considered and fully costed during the detailed design stage. While it is expected to be a significant investment, this process will allow us to determine the most efficient and effective solution for the building. Assessing it at the design phase also ensures we can address performance, energy efficiency, and long-term operating costs in a coordinated way, rather than making assumptions too early in the project.</p> <p>22. The brief indicates that the existing external main hall doors are to be retained and refurbished, rather than replaced, in order to reduce dust ingress and improve compliant access where required.</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
<p><b>Submission 6</b></p>	<p>23. Part 2 – Kitchen / Bar Design – Currently there is no dedicated Bar location within the designs. With slight design changes to the CRC layout and minor Kitchen design changes, this enables a dedicated 'Bar' Area, separating the kitchen and bar whilst operating in one structure.</p> <p>24. Our suggested Kitchen Design changes are based around this. 1. Add Bar Serving Area – Consider adding a bar serving area onto the Patio (this links in with the suggested hallway to the patio from the lesser hall and increased patio space in Part 3). 2. Remove Pan oil fryer – Suggest removing this from the design. This will free up bench space to enable a kitchen / bar area and reduce the logistical burden of managing a deep fryer (such as the changing of the oil, the annual cleaning requirements of the exhaust fan, storage and management of hot / used oil etc.) 3. Relocate Combi Steam Oven - to where the fryer would be, this enables 'bar serving area' facing the patio. 4. Relocate Under bench Hot Cupboards - to centre prep area / behind the cooktop. This enables 'bar serving area' facing the patio. OR consider a vertical Hot Bench which could back onto the Fridges. 5. Relocate Freezer - to near the storage /cleaning area, this enables an additional fridge to be located near the 'Bar Serving' area. Current Fridge storage would not be enough for a Kitchen and Bar. 6. Cleaning /Storage – What would be in the Corner cabinet / design? Current design does not specify 7. Cleaning Storage Area – is this a separate room / walled area e.g. mop buckets etc. located here? If so, the serving space next to this is too wide.</p>	<p>23. The current scope of work, based off the Sires requirements, is to not include a formal bar. We have proposed an informal bar that acts as a server and is accessible from both the lesser hall and Main Hall. If the Shire wish to include a formal bar, then the kitchen will need to be redesigned.</p> <p>24. One of the main recommendations taken from this feedback is the relocation of the staff to the agricultural hall. This would require a redesign of the current approach which does not align with the current design approach from the Shire. Similar considerations have been proposed in earlier design options.</p>	<p>23. A temporary pop-up bar structure would need to be delivered as a separate project, as there is insufficient space within the current building footprint and roof structure to accommodate this function. An option worth considering is a sea-container-style pop-up bar, which could house a servery, refrigeration, and other essential equipment.</p> <p>This type of structure offers flexibility, as it can be easily relocated to different positions around the building or even to other locations within the town, depending on the needs of events or community activities. Its mobility also allows the Shire to trial different placements and uses without committing to permanent infrastructure.</p> <p>A pop-up bar of this nature could be an excellent initiative for a future Community Budget Submission, as it would support local events, activate public spaces, and provide a versatile asset for a range of community functions. The fridges and equipment could also be shared with or utilised by the existing servery, ensuring efficient use of resources.</p> <p>24. The Kitchen design has been adapted from the first iteration in c.2022. This can be re-examined at the detail design stage with a specialised Kitchen consultant.</p>
<p><b>Submission 6</b></p>	<p>25. Part 3 – Feedback on CRC Relocation Design 1. Lesser Hall / Patio Access - Could the design be amended to include a small hallway so you could access the Patio from the lesser hall? (enabling the functionality of the proposed 'Bar' location) 2. Patio</p>	<p>25. See above.</p>	<p>25. The patio area can be increased to align with the start of the proposed CRC Outdoor Patio. However, this would mean access is only available via the kitchen door, which is preferred for CRC security. This can be</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
	<p>– Could the design be amended to increase the area of Patio, finishing where the proposed CRC 'Outdoor Patio' commences, and incorporate the steps access into the main hall and stage? Additionally, could the design change the location of the ramp access and/or include steps into the back of the main kitchen for quick access.</p> <p>3. Switching proposed Office locations</p> <p>a. Switching Admin &amp; Printing Office with Meeting Room 2 &amp; 3 – If the Offices (admin and printing) and Meeting rooms 02 &amp; 03 are switched around, it enables office vision of both the street and laneway, along with views into the first shelves of the library and makes the original wooden struts of the hall more prominent (with lower walls). Additionally, if meeting rooms are located adjacent to the Lesser Hall or Patio, they could be repurposed as temporary change rooms for stage events. The current area surrounding the stage is insufficient to 2 accommodate dedicated dressing rooms. At present, two change rooms are typically set up within the Lesser Hall itself, with half the space partitioned to allow performers to change and wait between acts. By reconfiguring the layout, specifically by relocating the Office and Meeting Rooms, there is future potential to create flexible change room space without encroaching on other CRC areas. This approach avoids designing permanent change rooms while still enabling their use when required. Such facilities would be essential for local productions and particularly valuable when hosting external performers.</p> <p>b. Switching Staff Office with Computer Desks / RM01 – To enhance staff visibility, improve security within the CRC, and increase the number of co-located computer banks, we propose relocating the Staff Office to the area currently designated for computer desks near the front entrance. In turn, the computer stations would be moved to the proposed RM01 location. This adjustment would significantly open up the CRC</p>		<p>reviewed further during detailed design, but from an operational perspective this arrangement is workable.</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
	<p>layout prior to the library by removing the high walls currently enclosing RM01. It would also provide the Staff Office with clear sightlines across the entire space, improving operational oversight and safety. Additionally, the repositioning would visually highlight the wooden roof struts, contributing to a more open and architecturally cohesive environment. At present, the Staff Office faces a brick wall at the rear of the Lesser Hall, limiting visibility and spatial integration.</p>		
<b>Submission 6</b>	<p>26. Extend the Design – We appreciate design extensions will add project cost, however if the 'back wall' of the CRC finished in line with the Kitchen (in the current proposed design the outdoor patio aligns to the kitchen) this would increase internal design space.</p>	<p>26. We agree it would provide more internal design space. However, there would be cost implications.</p>	<p>26. Extending the building design to create additional floor space would add significant costs to the relocation project. While we welcome and appreciate all feedback received, we will not be pursuing an extension of the roof or building footprint as part of this project.</p> <p>Our focus is to deliver a functional, efficient, and financially responsible outcome that meets the core needs of the facility. Keeping the project within its intended scope also ensures that resources can be directed toward the highest-value improvements, such as accessibility, internal layout, and essential building services.</p>
<b>Submission 6</b>	<p>27. Library Space – Additional library space would be welcomed.</p>	<p>27. We have designed to the available space. There would need to be a compromise to other areas of the design to achieve more library space.</p>	<p>27. We have designed the layout to make the best use of the available space. Increasing the library area would require compromising other key elements of the design, and expanding the building footprint is not proposed. We believe the current allocation provides an appropriate and functional library space.</p>
<b>Submission 6</b>	<p>28. Incorporate Early Years - Could the design include space for early years, e.g. a 'toy library', somewhere for 'rhyme time', or Playgroup. A space where playgroup could be held each week</p>	<p>28. There is no provision in the current brief for early years playgroup incorporation. In our view, this would require a reduction in the CRC area to achieve this.</p>	<p>28. There is no current project brief for the inclusion of an early year's playgroup space. Accommodating this function would require a reduction in the allocated CRC</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
	<p>in a purposeful child-oriented space would build strong community connections and bring a variety of generations into the CRC / Library. The current system of utilising the pavilion which requires set up and then clean-up of a large space after each playgroup session (which is not easy with small children) puts people off and has a big impact on the ability to attract and retain members.</p>		<p>area, which would prevent the CRC from meeting its required operational outcomes and service delivery needs.</p>
<p><b>Submission 6</b></p>	<p>29. Kitchenette Location – Functional and Spatial Considerations - We recommend reviewing the intended use and placement of the proposed kitchenette. Will this serve as the primary kitchen for staff, or will staff continue to use the existing main kitchen? To mitigate risks associated with water proximity to books and potential damage, we propose relocating the kitchenette further from the library. Ideally, it could be positioned adjacent to a meeting room, allowing convenient access to refreshments during larger gatherings. This configuration could be integrated into the extended design footprint, enhancing both practicality and spatial efficiency. We've incorporated proposed design considerations directly onto the latest marked-up plans, Attachment A – Kitchen, and B – CRC /Hall. We strongly support the development or redevelopment of the hall area to deliver contemporary facilities that serve both current and future generations of our community. Enhancing this space would also strengthen the physical and social connection between the main street and the broader recreation precinct.</p>	<p>29. The kitchenette is not proposed to be a staff kitchen. The CRC staff had previously requested its inclusion for use by patrons. It can be relocated however we understood the initial purpose was for the general public to be able to use the facilities in a relaxed environment. It was not proposed to be included for meeting purposes.</p>	<p>29. The placement of the kitchenette is intended to enhance the experience of visitors to the centre. The design includes a secure, lockable pull-down cover, ensuring the area can be safely closed off when not in use - particularly important for protecting children and other users.</p> <p>The intention is to create a welcoming space where visitors can comfortably sit, enjoy a cup of tea or coffee, and make use of the quiet reading area or library facilities. This concept was informed by a successful design observed at another CRC during site visits, where similar features contributed to a more inviting and community-friendly environment.</p> <p>Positioning the kitchenette in this location supports casual social interaction, encourages longer visits, and helps activate the space in a way that aligns with the centre's purpose.</p>
<p><b>Submission 7</b></p>	<p>30. Front door of original hall has no weather protection or ramp for entering.</p>	<p>30. No ramp is required for access and awning can be considered.</p>	<p>30. Addressed in the detailed design phase.</p>
<p><b>Submission 7</b></p>	<p>31. Concerns that there is no access for coolrooms being plugged in when catering for major events</p>	<p>31. To be considered at detail design.</p>	<p>31. Access for external coolrooms would be limited to the carpark side; however, an external power outlet can be provided on the building façade to support this. This can</p>

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
			be reviewed and finalised during the detailed design phase.
<b>Submission 7</b>	32. Library area for books is small.	32. Noted. Shire to advise on size requirements for library.	32. The smaller library area is operationally workable and can be accommodated under the existing roof structure. This approach is practical from both a functional and cost perspective. We are comfortable with this arrangement and have no further concerns at this stage.
<b>Submission 7</b>	33. How much of the beautiful roof/ceiling timber will be visible in the original hall.	33. We are proposing to retain the majority of the timber in the ag hall as a design feature.	33. The majority of the existing roof and ceiling timber will be retained and remain visible. This approach reflects feedback received during earlier public consultation and supports the heritage values of the building.
<b>Submission 7</b>	34. Is the drinking fountain at Main Hall entry being retained? That improved water supply changed the town.	34. Water fountain to be retained.	34. The water fountain will be retained.
<b>Submission 7</b>	35. Kitchen - Losing a double sink for a cleaning area is not good. This is the only large kitchen that can be used in emergency.	35. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	35. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
<b>Submission 7</b>	36. You need 2 sets of double sinks, one for washing/prepping vegetables and fruit etc. cleaning down and washing large pots, baking dishes. Second sink for dishes.	36. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	36. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
<b>Submission 7</b>	37. A pan oil fryer is useless - very few can operate this. Cleaning & disposing of cooking oil for one off use is a problem. This kitchen isn't used everyday. Air fryers way to go now.	37. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	37. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
<b>Submission 7</b>	38. A large freezer as not in use everyday is a waste of space. Goods frozen brought in mostly to thaw out from stores. Upright small freezer usually does job.	38. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	38. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
<b>Submission 7</b>	39. Why not keep current hot box (if working as movable) Not happy about heat under cupboard	39. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	39. Noted and to be considered at detail design.

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
Submission 7	40. Fridges need to be double doored so can get large trays or prepared food into shelve	40. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	40. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
Submission 7	41. The current kitchen space is fully utilised for OTMS, events like Brookton/Pingelly fire event as largest kitchen in shire to cope with capacity.	41. Unsure of acronym OTMS.	41. The kitchen footprint is not proposed to change, with final design elements to be resolved during the detailed design phase. The brief remains for this to function as a commercial-grade kitchen.
Submission 7	42. Microwave is more important than oil fryer.	42. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	42. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
Submission 7	43. Power points XXX in kitchen and halls	43. We have noted the requirement for extra power points.	43. Noted and to be considered at detail design.
Submission 7	44. Cutlery trolley needs to be closer to sink for putting away and give adequate bench space.	44. The cutlery trolley is proposed to be transportable and can be located anywhere.	44. The trolley is proposed to remain mobile to allow flexibility within the commercial kitchen layout.
Submission 7	45. When CRC was moved to original hospital trade dropped off significantly - main street location vital.	45. No comment.	45. Although the current site is convenient on the main street, the new location will be easy for visitors to find through improved signage at key entry points and along major routes. The facility will offer better accessibility, upgraded infrastructure through the grant-funded refurbishment, and designated long-vehicle parking. A safe walkway across the railway will provide simple access to local shops and attractions. The new location remains convenient, functional, and visitor friendly.
Submission 7	46. Observation Room = Wrong name. This should be named "Bio Box" as it has been a Bio Box for years for lighting, projects etc.	46. Noted.	46. Noted. The term 'Observation Room' will be updated to 'Bio Box' to reflect its long-standing use for lighting, projection and technical operations. This change will be incorporated into the plan.
Submission 7	47. Note well the tables in the kitchen used for work benches are at a good height fir doing hours of work at DO NOT GO LOWER, esky's and boxes fit under neatly these tables holding.	47. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	47. Noted.

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
<b>Submission 7</b>	48. Adequate hot water supply for capacity crowd cooking/cleaning up.	48. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	48. Noted.
<b>Submission 7</b>	49. Note Country Club has 2 sets of sinks, and they are fully utilised as one the town hall kitchen 2 sets of sinks large pots etc. don't fit in dish washers, get clean. Need a sink for draining large pots.	49. Noted and to be considered at detail design.	49. Noted.
<b>Submission 7</b>	50. I welcome being able to speak to you if this helps clarify points.	50. No comment.	50. We appreciate all submissions received and will undertake further consultation during the detailed design phase. At this stage, the work is focused on establishing estimated project costs only.
<b>Submission 7</b>	51. Addition to Relocation of Brookton CRC. Submission 7 handed in form on 12 November then realised a huge omission	51. No comment.	51. No comment.
<b>Submission 7</b>	52. Re halls & use - None of the future planning shows any acknowledgement of the place Brookton Performing Arts has in the Town Hall complex. Brookton Performing Arts/Choral Society provides cultural creative outlet to this community since early 1900's & continues on - But with difficulty with no storage options in the new plans. It has been very difficult since the group had to remove all gear from the hall. The Peter Pan Pantomime is an example of how nurturing cultural activities gives so much to the community & individuals who participate & share, providing entertainment to the district. allowing for storage for stage flats, costumes and so much more is very much needed at the hall to ensure this group continues being able to XXX cultural activities for years to come.	52. No comment.	52. We acknowledge the long-standing contribution of the Brookton Performing Arts/Choral Society and the important cultural role it plays in the community. At this stage, the facility does not have sufficient space to provide dedicated storage for any community group, and the current design cannot accommodate additional storage within the building footprint.  Storage requirements for individual groups are generally managed by the groups themselves and not through the provision of permanent on-site storage. However, Council may consider a request for additional storage as part of the annual budget process, noting that this would be assessed alongside other competing priorities.

Submission	Community Comment/Feedback Received	Architect's Technical Comment	Working Group Response
<b>Submission 8</b>	53. Backup generator – BCRC.	53. No comment.	53. A backup generator is not required, as the CRC would not operate during a power outage. In emergency situations, the WB Eva Pavilion is the designated emergency facility.
<b>Submission 9</b>	54. Playground installed.	54. No comment provided as submission received after the closing date.	54. Noted.

Thank you for your feedback and comments, and for your ongoing support in progressing this project. Your input plays an important role in shaping the direction of the project and ensuring it reflects community needs and priorities. Should you wish to discuss any aspect further, please contact Deanne Sweeney Manager Corporate & Community on [deanne.sweeney@brookton.wa.gov.au](mailto:deanne.sweeney@brookton.wa.gov.au) or on 9642 1106.